Emmady JV, Emmady P. Free Clinics in the United States: Challenges, Models, and a Sustainable Alternative Approach. *North Carolina Medical Journal*. 2025;86(4). doi:10.18043/001c.154156

CORRESPONDENCE

Free Clinics in the United States: Challenges, Models, and a Sustainable Alternative Approach

Jonathan V. Emmady[1], Prabhu Emmady, MD[1]

[1] Hope Street Free Clinic

Keywords: Jonathan V. Emmady, Prabhu Emmady, free clinics, uninsured

https://doi.org/10.18043/001c.154156

North Carolina Medical Journal

Vol. 86, Issue 4, 2025

Free clinics play a critical role in bridging health care gaps for the uninsured and underserved populations in the United States. Despite various models for funding and sustaining these clinics, many remain constrained by financial dependence, bureaucratic burdens, and eligibility restrictions. This article reviews the necessity of free clinics, their impact on individual and community health, and the limitations of conventional models. It presents a sustainable alternative: a model that operates without county or state funding, does not solicit donations, and eliminates eligibility barriers. By relying on volunteerism and an ethos of unconditional service, such a model maximizes accessibility while minimizing administrative overhead. The discussion includes the long-term impact of free health care access on individuals, families, and communities, and the role of student volunteers in sustaining this mission.

Introduction

Access to health care remains a persistent challenge in the United States, despite significant advancements in medical technology and health care coverage expansion under the Affordable Care Act (ACA). A substantial portion of the population remains uninsured or underinsured, unable to afford medical services even for preventable and manageable conditions. Free clinics provide an essential safety net for these individuals, but they often rely on external funding, making them vulnerable to financial instability and administrative burdens.

This article discusses the need for free clinics, examines existing models, and introduces an alternative model that functions without seeking external funding and minimizes bureaucratic hurdles. This approach is based on pure service and volunteerism, ensuring care for all individuals, regardless of their background, income, or insurance status.

The Need for Free Clinics in the United States

Uninsured and Underinsured Populations

The Kaiser Family Foundation (2023) reports that 27.5 million non-elderly individuals (aged 0–64) in the United States lack health insurance.[1] Furthermore, millions more are underinsured, facing high deductibles and

Table 1. Existing Free Clinic Models

Clinic Model	Funding Source	Limitations
Government-funded clinics	Medicaid reimbursements, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) grants	Strict eligibility rules, complex paperwork, reliance on fluctuating funding
Donor-funded clinics	Private donations, corporate sponsors	Requires continuous fundraising, high administrative burden
Hybrid models	Combination of grants, donations, and partnerships	Some flexibility, but still dependent on external funding

limited access to care. This leads to a cycle of untreated chronic diseases, worsening overall health outcomes, and increasing long-term health care costs.[2]

Common Preventable Conditions That Free Clinics Address

Without access to affordable health care, many patients delay seeking treatment, allowing manageable conditions to progress into severe and costly diseases. Some of the commonly treated conditions include:

Hypertension. A leading risk factor for stroke and heart disease yet easily managed with lifestyle changes and medication.[3]

Diabetes. If left untreated, it can lead to blindness, kidney failure, and amputations.[4]

Respiratory infections and chronic conditions. Asthma and pneumonia disproportionately affect low-income populations due to environmental factors.[5]

Mental Health Disorders. Depression and anxiety are often left untreated in uninsured populations, leading to worsened social and economic outcomes.[6]

Infections. Many bacterial infections could be easily treated with antibiotics, yet some escalate into life-threatening conditions due to lack of timely intervention.[7]

The absence of affordable health care leads to avoidable deaths and poor quality of life for millions of Americans. Free clinics play a critical role in mitigating these issues, but traditional models often fail to serve the full spectrum of those in need.

Traditional Free Clinic Models and Their Limitations

Existing free clinic models typically fall into the following categories in Table 1.

Challenges faced by traditional free clinics include:

Administrative barriers. Many require proof of income, residency, or insurance status, discouraging those in urgent need.

Financial vulnerability. Clinics relying on grants or donations face uncertain funding, leading to staff shortages and service interruptions.

Limited accessibility. Patients must qualify based on restrictive income or residency criteria, leaving many without care.

Capacity constraints. High patient demand often exceeds available resources, resulting in long wait times and limited appointment availability.

The Proposed Model: A Radical Shift and a Sustainable Alternative

The proposed model for a radical shift in health care delivery, particularly in faith-based and free clinics, is both innovative and visionary. By focusing on principles of volunteerism, minimal overhead, and a faith-driven approach, this model aims to achieve a sustainable, community-centered health care service. Below is a more comprehensive discussion of each of the key principles.

No Solicitation of Funds

A key aspect of this model is the decision not to actively solicit funds through traditional means such as fundraising campaigns, grant applications, or solicitation of private donations. This represents a dramatic departure from most health care models that rely heavily on financial support through these channels. While donations may still be accepted, the clinic's operations are not contingent on fundraising goals or grant cycles, which can be uncertain and time sensitive.

This principle ensures financial independence by reducing reliance on external funding and minimizing the influence of outside stakeholders, allowing the clinic to remain fully aligned with its mission to provide care based on need and compassion rather than financial incentives. It also safeguards autonomy in operations by removing pressure to meet fundraising targets, enabling the clinic to focus on its core mission of serving the underserved while trusting in the faith-driven provision of resources. Furthermore, the clinic is able to maintain a high degree of transparency and trust, as financial reporting or fundraising does not become the primary focus of its efforts.

Entirely Volunteer-Driven

The success of this model is built on the selfless efforts of volunteers, both medical and non-medical. This is a critical element of the clinic's structure, as it eliminates the need for paid staff and enables the clinic to offer free services while keeping costs at a minimum. Health care professionals volunteer their

time, reducing labor costs to near zero, while medical students gain hands-on experience in a mentorship-based model that benefits both patients and future providers. Non-medical volunteers manage administration, patient coordination, and logistics, eliminating the need for paid staff. Collaborative partnerships can be established with educational institutions and health care systems to integrate free clinical care into medical training programs. The impact of an entirely volunteer-driven model includes strong community engagement and empowerment, as volunteers from the local community develop a sense of ownership and collective responsibility for the clinic's success. This approach fosters collaboration and mutual support while keeping overhead low by significantly reducing staffing costs, which are often a major component of health care expenditures. The resulting savings can be redirected into patient care or used to expand services, further strengthening the clinic's reach and effectiveness. In addition, the diverse skill sets of volunteers, including those from non-medical fields, bring a wide range of perspectives and expertise that enhance the clinic's ability to meet varied patient needs and address challenges from multiple angles.

Minimal Overhead

Operating in donated spaces and using in-kind contributions for medical supplies is a cornerstone of this model. By avoiding high rent and administrative costs, the clinic can allocate its resources more efficiently. The minimal overhead principle offers several advantages:

- Increased resource allocation to patient care, as less money is spent on operational costs, providing quality care, improving services, and reaching more patients.
- Sustainability by minimizing expenses, allowing the clinic to maintain operations even during times of financial instability.
- Eliminating financial transactions and eligibility screening.
- Ensuring continuity through a self-replenishing cycle of volunteers, students, and health care professionals committed to service.

Faith and Trust in Provision

The clinic's reliance on faith rather than financial dependency is a bold and powerful statement about trust and divine provision. The principle of faith and trust in provision has several profound implications. The clinic's spiritual foundation, rooted in its mission to serve others out of love and faith, reinforces the deeper purpose behind its work and invites others to join in this faith-driven mission, fostering a strong sense of purpose among volunteers, patients, and the community. By focusing on service and prayer, the clinic experiences miraculous provision, with resources arriving in unexpected

ways—whether through donations, volunteerism, or community partnerships—allowing it to remain resilient without being weighed down by financial burdens or bureaucratic structures. At the same time, this model emphasizes building meaningful relationships, as patients, volunteers, and donors often feel a deeper connection to the clinic knowing that it operates with integrity and faith rather than as a purely transactional service.

No Paperwork, No Eligibility Screening

One of the most innovative aspects of this model is the removal of eligibility screening and paperwork requirements. Traditional health care systems often require patients to fill out extensive forms, provide proof of income or insurance, and undergo a series of screenings to determine their eligibility for care. This can create barriers for individuals who are already marginalized or facing crisis situations. By eliminating these hurdles, the clinic prioritizes:

Universal access. Anyone in need of care can walk into the clinic without fear of being turned away due to paperwork, income level, or insurance status. This simplifies the process and removes the often intimidating and bureaucratic barriers that patients may face in other health care settings.

Patient-centered care. By focusing purely on the patient's health and well-being, the clinic avoids the administrative red tape that can sometimes overshadow the quality of care in more traditional settings. It ensures that the patients' needs are addressed without delay.

Affirmation. The lack of eligibility screening affirms the inherent dignity of every person, regardless of their socio-economic status or background. This fosters a sense of trust between patients and the clinic, allowing individuals to seek help without feeling judged or marginalized.

Operational Simplicity and Focus on Care

By removing financial dependencies, bureaucratic processes, and complex eligibility requirements, this model creates a streamlined, low-maintenance system that is deeply focused on providing patient care. The principles of volunteerism, faith, and trust foster an environment where health care becomes an act of service, not a transactional experience.

Financial Considerations: Average Costs and Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) Malpractice Coverage

The operational costs of free clinics vary widely based on location, size, and services provided. However, studies estimate that a small free clinic (serving 1500–2000 patients per year) may cost $200,000–$500,000 annually.[8] A medium-sized clinic serving 5000+ patients annually may require $750,000–$1.5 million per year.[9] Larger urban free clinics can exceed $3 million annually, especially if they offer specialty care. Primary expenses

include facility costs (rent, utilities, maintenance; 30%–40%); medical supplies and equipment (20%–30%); salaries and stipends (if any paid staff exist; 20%–30%); and administrative costs (IT, legal, compliance; 10%–20%). These figures highlight why most free clinics require active fundraising, and why a self-sustaining, service-based model is so unique.

FTCA Malpractice Insurance for Free Clinics

The Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) provides malpractice coverage for volunteer health care providers at eligible free clinics, reducing financial risk and encouraging provider participation. Under this program, clinics designated as "free clinics" under the FTCA can cover their licensed medical volunteers for malpractice at no cost. This removes a significant financial burden and allows providers to serve without liability concerns. To qualify, a clinic must provide free care and meet specific federal eligibility criteria.[10] This coverage further supports the feasibility of a service-based free clinic model, ensuring that volunteers are legally protected while delivering care.

The Impact of Free Clinics on Individuals, Families, and Communities

Free clinics are more than health care providers; they are integral to the broader societal framework, initiating positive changes that extend to families, communities, and future generations. These clinics offer a unique form of care that impacts well-being and fosters long-term social and economic growth. The effects of free clinics can be understood through 4 key perspectives: individuals, families, communities, and future generations.

Impact on Individuals

The most immediate impact of free clinics is seen in the individual patients they serve, particularly those who are underserved, uninsured, or underinsured. For many, access to health care can be a matter of life or death. Free clinics improve health outcomes by providing early intervention, reducing emergency room visits, and decreasing long-term health care costs.

Impact on Families

The impact of free clinics extends beyond individual patients to their families. When a parent or caregiver receives medical treatment, the stability and well-being of the entire household improve. Access to care without the burden of high medical costs allows parents to maintain stable employment and better manage their finances. This alleviation of financial strain improves the quality of life for families, enabling them to allocate resources to housing, food, and education.

Impact on Communities

Free clinics also benefit the broader community by promoting public health, economic stability, and social cohesion. When individuals and families have access to health care, they are more likely to participate in the workforce, strengthening the local economy. With fewer people missing work due to illness, businesses operate more efficiently, contributing to greater community productivity. Additionally, by offering preventive care and non-emergency health services, free clinics reduce the burden on emergency rooms and urgent care centers, resulting in cost savings for local health care providers.

Impact on Future Generations

The most profound and lasting effect of free clinics is on future generations. Children and grandchildren of individuals who receive proper medical care are more likely to lead healthy, successful lives. By breaking the cycle of illness and poverty, free clinics give children better opportunities for success. Healthy parents invest more in their children's education and well-being, leading to improved academic performance and greater overall life success. Access to health care in these households fosters a healthier, more prosperous future for the next generation.

Inspiring Future Generations of Health Care Providers and Volunteers

Volunteering at free clinics often leads to significant personal and professional growth for students. It not only enhances their existing skills but also shapes their career trajectories, values, and societal contributions.[11] This model, focused on selfless service and community engagement, provides far-reaching benefits that extend beyond patient care. Through hands-on experiences in underserved settings, students gain valuable insights that influence their future careers in fields like medicine, social work, law, and more. Working with underserved populations ignites a passion for helping others, particularly those lacking access to traditional health care systems.

Moreover, volunteering at free clinics helps develop a future generation of service-oriented professionals. By exposing students to the realities of health care, social work, and legal challenges in underserved communities, free clinics play a critical role in shaping the workforce. These experiences instill values such as empathy, humility, and service, which students carry into their careers. Whether in health care, law, or social work, these values influence their professional approach, often driving them to advocate for justice and contribute to societal well-being. Many former volunteers become mentors, sharing their commitment to service and inspiring the next generation of professionals to engage in community service, thus perpetuating a cycle of care.

Conclusions

The traditional free clinic model, while essential, often struggles with financial constraints, administrative challenges, and limited resources. These factors can hinder the clinic's ability to reach and serve as many individuals as possible, particularly in underserved or resource-scarce communities. However, the proposed alternative model, which is based on a core principle of pure service, volunteerism, and minimal bureaucracy, offers a more sustainable and accessible health care solution. By shifting the focus from financial dependency to a volunteer-driven approach, this model ensures that resources are allocated to what truly matters—providing compassionate, effective care to those who need it most.

In this model, clinics are powered by the selfless dedication of volunteers, who contribute not only their time but also their expertise. The absence of extensive fundraising efforts, eligibility screening, and high operational overhead makes the clinic more adaptable, responsive, and capable of meeting the immediate needs of a diverse range of patients. The result is a system that is truly inclusive, offering care to anyone, regardless of their financial situation or background.

The impact of such clinics extends far beyond the individual patient. Families benefit from improved health outcomes, leading to greater economic stability and stronger familial bonds. Communities, in turn, become healthier and more resilient, which contributes to local economic growth and social cohesion. A healthier population reduces the strain on emergency services, lowers health care costs, and enhances the overall well-being of the community. Additionally, free clinics offer more than just physical health benefits—they are places where social connections are formed, community engagement is fostered, and a spirit of mutual support is cultivated.

Perhaps most importantly, the legacy of these clinics extends into the future. The student volunteers who work in these environments gain invaluable skills, perspectives, and a commitment to service that shapes their future careers. Many of these students will go on to pursue careers in medicine, social work, law, and other service-oriented fields, continuing to offer pro bono services, advocate for health care reform, and work toward greater accessibility and justice. By planting the seeds for a future generation of professionals dedicated to serving others, these clinics ensure that their impact will resonate for years to come, creating a lasting legacy of health, service, and compassion.

In sum, the proposed alternative free clinic model offers a more sustainable and impactful approach to health care, fostering a cycle of service, community care, and advocacy. This model not only meets the immediate needs of patients but also contributes to building a healthier, more equitable

society for future generations. Through the spirit of volunteerism, compassion, and dedication to service, these clinics become more than just health care providers—they become catalysts for long-term positive change.

Acknowledgments

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Prabhu Emmady, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Financial support and disclosure of interests

The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Published: December 23, 2025 EDT.

REFERENCES

1. Tolbert J, Cervantes S, Bell C, Damico A. Key facts about the uninsured population. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. December 18, 2024. Accessed September 15, 2025. http://www.kff.org/uninsured/key-facts-about-the-uninsured-population/

2. Collins SR, Rasmussen PW, Beutel S, Doty MM. *The Problem of Underinsurance and How Rising Deductibles Will Make It Worse – Findings from the Commonwealth Fund Biennial Health Insurance Survey, 2014*. The Commonwealth Fund; 2015. doi:10.26099/6d6x-ez06

3. Bailey RR. Lifestyle modification for secondary stroke prevention. *Am J Lifestyle Med*. 2016;12(2):140-147. doi:10.1177/1559827616633683

4. Patel MR. Social determinants of poor management of type 2 diabetes among the insured. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2020;20(11):67. doi:10.1007/s11892-020-01354-4

5. Holden KA, Lee AR, Hawcutt DB, Sinha IP. The impact of poor housing and indoor air quality on respiratory health in children. *Breathe (Sheff)*. 2023;19(2):230058. doi:10.1183/20734735.0058-2023

6. Stensland M, Watson PR, Grazier KL. An examination of costs, charges, and payments for inpatient psychiatric treatment in community hospitals. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2012;63(7):666-671. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201100402

7. Zasowski EJ, Bassetti M, Blasi F, et al. A systematic review of the effect of delayed appropriate antibiotic treatment on the outcomes of patients with severe bacterial infections. *Chest*. 2020;158(3):929-938. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.03.087

8. Darnell JS. Free clinics in the United States: A nationwide survey. *Arch Intern Med*. 2010;170(11):946-953. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.107

9. Gertz AM, Frank S, Blixen CE. A survey of patients and providers at free clinics across the United States. *J Community Health*. 2011;36(1):83-93. doi:10.1007/s10900-010-9286-x

10. Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA). Calendar year 2023 requirements for Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) coverage for health centers and their covered individuals. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. February 18, 2022. Accessed September 15, 2025. https://bphc.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/bphc/data-reporting/pal-2022-01.pdf

11. Cappetto KD, Ehrlich B, Anderson EA, Huynh AT, Tran J, Hudon K. The benefits of undergraduate medical students delivering free preparticipation physical evaluations in collaboration with a K-12 school district. *BMC Med Educ*. 2024;24(1):901. doi:10.1186/s12909-024-05806-3